September 27, 2011

We have proved that solutions to differential equations depend continuously on parameters.

Now we wish to investigate the smooth dependence of solutions in systems which depend smoothly on parameters.

**Theorem.** Suppose that  $f(t, x, \lambda)$  is a  $C^1$  function of the variables  $(t, x, \lambda)$ in an open set  $D \in \mathbf{R} \times \mathbf{R}^n \times \mathbf{R}^k$ . For,  $(t_0, x_0, \lambda_0) \in D$ , let  $\phi(t, t_0, x_0, \lambda)$  be the solution of the initial value problem

$$\dot{x} = f(t, x, \lambda), \quad x(t_0) = x_0 \tag{1}$$

Then, the solution  $\phi(t, u, x, \lambda)$  to the initial value problem

$$\dot{x} = f(t, x, \lambda), \quad x(u) = x \tag{2}$$

is a  $C^1$  function of the variables  $(t, u, x, \lambda)$  for  $(u, x, \lambda)$  near  $(t_0, x_0, \lambda_0)$ . Moreover, the x-partial derivative of the solution

$$\frac{\partial \phi}{\partial x} = \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial x}(t, u, x, \lambda) \equiv J(t)$$

with respect to the space variable x satisfies the matrix (operator) initial value problem

$$\dot{J} = \frac{\partial f}{\partial x}(t, \phi(t, u, x, \lambda), \lambda) \cdot J, \quad J(u) = id$$
(3)

**Remark.** The differential equation in (3) (without the  $\lambda$  parameter) is usually called the *variational equation* of (1).

We will leave the proof of this last theorem and some of its generalizations to the exercises.

## **Differential Inequalities**

Let  $D_r$  denote the right hand derivative of a function; i.e.,

$$D_r f(x) = \lim_{h \to 0+} \frac{f(x+h) - f(x)}{h}$$

If  $\omega(t, u)$  is a scalar function for the scalar variables t, u in some open connected set  $\Omega$ , we say a function v(t) is a solution of the differential inequality

$$D_r v(t) \le \omega(t, v(t)) \tag{4}$$

on [a, b) if v(t) is continuous on [a, b), right differentiable on [a, b) and satisfies (4) on [a, b).

**Lemma.** If  $x(\cdot)$  is a continuously differentiable map from a closed interval [a, b] in  $\mathbf{R}$ , then  $D_r|x(t)|$  exists on [a, b) and  $|D_r(|x(t)|)| \le |\dot{x}(t)|$  for all  $t \in [a, b)$ .

Proof.

For any two n- vectors, x, u, and  $0 < \tau \le 1, 0 < h$ , we have

$$|x + \tau hu| - |\tau x + \tau hu| \le (1 - \tau)|x|$$

or

$$|x + \tau hu| - |x| \le \tau (|x + hu| - |x|)$$

or

$$\frac{\mid x + \tau hu \mid - \mid x \mid}{\tau h} \le \frac{\mid x + hu \mid - \mid x \mid}{h}$$

This implies that the difference quotient

$$\phi(h) = \frac{\mid x + hu \mid - \mid x \mid}{h}$$

is a non-decreasing function of h. Also,

$$\begin{split} \phi(h) &= \frac{|x + hu| - |x|}{h} \\ &= \frac{|x - (-hu)| - |x|}{h} \\ &\geq \frac{|x| - |-hu| - |x|}{h} \\ &\geq -|u|, \end{split}$$

so,  $\phi(h)$  is bounded below. Thus,

$$\lim_{h \to 0+} \phi(h)$$

exists. Now, suppose x(t) is  $C^1$ . then,

$$\left| \begin{array}{ccc} (\mid x(t+h) \mid - \mid x(t) \mid) & - & (\mid x(t) + h\dot{x}(t) \mid - \mid x(t) \mid) \\ & = & \left| \quad \mid x(t+h) \mid - \mid x(t) + h\dot{x}(t) \mid \right| \\ & \leq & \mid x(t+h) - x(t) - h\dot{x}(t) \mid = o(h) \end{array} \right|$$

as  $h \to 0+$ . Thus,  $D_r(|x(t)|)$  exists and equals

$$\lim_{h \to 0+} \frac{\mid x(t) + h\dot{x}(t) \mid - \mid x(t) \mid}{h}$$

Taking the norm of both sides gives  $|D_r(|x(t)|)| \le |\dot{x}(t)|$  which proves the Lemma. QED.

**Theorem.** Let  $\omega(t, u)$  be continuous and locally Lipschitz on an open connected set  $\Lambda \subset \mathbf{R}^2$ . Suppose u(t) is a solution to  $\dot{u} = \omega(t, u)$  on the interval [a, b] and v(t) is a solution of the differential inequality (4) on [a, b)with  $v(a) \leq u(a)$ . Then,  $v(t) \leq u(t)$  for  $t \in [a, b)$ .

## Proof.

Consider the sequence of equations

$$\dot{u} = \omega(t, u) + \frac{1}{n} \tag{5}$$

for n = 1, 2, ...

Let  $u_n(t)$  denote the solution to the equation  $\dot{u} = \omega(t, u) + \frac{1}{n}$  with  $u_n(a) = u(a)$ . By the global continuity theorem, there is an  $n_0 > 0$  such that for  $n \ge n_0$ , the solution  $u_n(t)$  is defined on all of [a, b] and converges uniformly to u(t) as  $n \to \infty$ .

We show that

 $(*) v(t) \leq u_n(t)$  for all  $t \in [a, b]$  and  $n \geq n_0$ 

Once this is done, since  $u_n$  converges uniformly to u on [a, b], it follows that  $v(t) \leq u(t)$  for all t.

If (\*) fails for some  $n \ge n_0$ , then there exist  $t_2 < t_1$  in (a, b) such that  $v(t) > u_n(t)$  on  $(t_2, t_1]$  and  $v(t_2) = u_n(t_2)$ . Therefore,  $v(t) - v(t_2) > u_n(t) - u_n(t_2)$  on  $(t_2, t_1]$ .

This implies that

$$D_r v(t_2) \geq \dot{u}_n(t_2) = \omega(t_2, u_n(t_2)) + \frac{1}{n} \\ = \omega(t_2, v(t_2)) + \frac{1}{n} \\ > \omega(t_2, v(t_2))$$

which contradicts the assumption that v is a solution to (4). QED

**Corollary.** Suppose w(t, u) is continuous and locally Lipschitz in u in an open set  $D \subset \mathbf{R}^2$ . Let u(t) be a solution of  $\dot{u} = \omega(t, u)$  defined on the closed interval [a, b] and assume that  $(t, u(t)) \in D$  for all  $t \in [a, b]$ . If x(t)is a  $C^1$  n-vector valued function on [a, b] such that  $|x(a)| \leq u(a)$ , and, for  $t \in [a, b]$  we have  $(t, |x(t)|) \in D$  and

$$|\dot{x}(t)| \le \omega(t, |x(t)|),$$

then  $|x(t)| \le u(t)$  for all  $t \in [a, b]$ . **Proof.** 

By a previous Lemma, we have  $D_r(|x(t)|)$  exists and is no larger than  $|\dot{x}(t)|$ . Thus, |x(t)| satisfies the differential inequality  $D_r(v(t)) \leq \omega(t, v(t))$  on [a, b]. By the previous theorem, we have  $|x(t)| \leq u(t)$  for all t. QED.

**Proposition.** Suppose  $\omega(t, u)$  is continuous and locally Lipschitz in u in a domain  $D \subset \mathbf{R}^2$ , and let u(t) be a non-negative solution of  $\dot{u} = \omega(t, u)$  on an interval [a, b) such that  $\{(t, y) : t \in [a, b), 0 \leq y \leq u(t)\}$  is in D. Let f(t, x) be continuous and locally Lipschitz in x in all of  $\mathbf{R}^{n+1}$  and suppose that

$$|f(t,x)| \le \omega(t, |x|)$$

for  $t \in [a, b)$  and  $(t, |x|) \in D$ . Then, the solutions of

$$\dot{x} = f(t, x), |x(a)| \le u(a)$$

exist on all of [a, b) and  $|x(t)| \le u(t)$  for  $t \in [a, b)$ . **Proof.** 

Since  $\mathbf{R}^{n+1}$  has no boundary, the only way a solution to  $\dot{x} = f(t, x)$  with  $|x(a)| \leq u(a)$  can fail to exist on [a, b) is that there is some  $c \in (a, b)$  such that x(t) is defined for  $a \leq t < c$  and

$$\limsup_{t \to c_{-}} |f(t, x(t))| = \infty.$$
(6)

Now, u(t) exists for all  $t \in [a, c]$  and

$$E \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \{(t, y) : t \in [a, c], 0 \le y \le u(t)\}$$

is a compact subset of D. So,  $\omega(t, y)$  is bounded on E. Thus, there is a constant K > 0 so that  $\omega(t, y) \leq K$  for all  $(t, y) \in E$ .

Let  $c_1 \in (a, c)$  be such that

$$| f(c_1, x(c_1)) | > K.$$
 (7)

Now,

$$D_r(|x(t)|) \le |\dot{x}(t)| = |f(t, x(t))| \le \omega(t, |x(t)|), |x(a)| \le u(a),$$

and both u(t) and x(t) exist for all  $t \in [a, c_1]$ .

So, by the Corollary above, we have that  $|x(t)| \le u(t)$  for all  $t \in [a, c_1]$ . Thus,

$$F \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \{(t, |x(t)|) : t \in [a, c_1]\} \subseteq E$$

and, in particular,  $|f(c_1, x(c_1))| \le \omega(c_1, |x(c_1)|) \le K$  which contradicts (??).

This proves the theorem. QED.

Now we give a result on the existence of long time solutions to ODE's.

**Lemma.** Consider the scalar differential equation  $\dot{u} = \phi(t)\psi(u)$  where  $\phi$  is defined and continuous on the half infinite interval  $[\alpha, \infty)$  and  $\psi$  is defined, non-negative, and locally Lipschitz on the half infinite interval  $[0, \infty)$ . If

$$\int_0^\infty \frac{du}{\psi(u)} = \infty,$$

then, for any  $t_0 > \alpha, u_0 > 0$ , the IVP  $\dot{u} = \phi(t)\psi(u), u(t_0) = u_0$  has a unique solution u(t) defined on the whole interval  $[t_0, \infty)$ .

**Proof.** Suppose the (right) maximal solution u(t) of the IVP only exists on the interval  $[t_0, c)$ . If u(t) remained bounded as  $t \to c-$ , then, continuity of  $\psi$  on closed bounded intervals would imply that  $\phi(t)\psi(u(t))$  remains bounded on  $[t_0, c)$ . Consequently, u(t) would have a limit as  $t \to c-$  and then, we could get a non-tivial continuation of u(t) to an interval  $[t_0, c+\epsilon)$  for some positive  $\epsilon$ . This would contradict the maximality, so there must exist a sequence  $t_n \in [t_0, c)$  with  $t_n \to c-$  and  $u(t_n) \to \infty$ .

Now, since  $\frac{du}{dt}(t) = \phi(t)\psi(u(t))$ , we have

$$\int_{u_0}^{u(t)} \frac{dv}{\psi(v)} = \int_{t_0}^t \phi(s) ds.$$

Putting  $t_n$  into this formula gives

$$\int_{u_0}^{u(t_n)} \frac{dv}{\psi(v)} = \int_{t_0}^{t_n} \phi(s) ds.$$

By assumption on  $\psi$ , the left hand side becomes infinite as  $n \to \infty$ , but the right hand side remains bounded by  $\int_{t_0}^c |\phi(s)| ds$ . This is a contradiction, so the solution u(t) exists on all of  $[t_0, \infty)$ . QED

**Theorem.** If  $\phi$ ,  $\psi$  are as in the lemma, and f(t, x) is a continuous, locally Lipschitz  $\mathbb{R}^n$ -valued function on  $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$  such that  $|f(t, x)| \leq \phi(t)\psi(|x|)$  for all  $t \geq t_0$  and all x, then any solution x(t) to the IVP  $\dot{x} = f(t, x)$ ,  $x(t_0) = x_0$  with  $|x_0| \leq u_0$  can be extended to the whole interval  $[t_0, \infty)$ .

**Proof.** This follows immediately from the preceding results. QED.

**Corollary.** Suppose that A(t) is an  $n \times n$  matrix-valued function of t and h(t) is an n-vector valued function of t and that both A(t), h(t) are defined and continuous on the whole line  $\mathbf{R}$ . Let  $x_0 \in \mathbf{R}^n$  and  $t_0 \in \mathbf{R}$ . Then, the linear differential equation

$$\dot{x} = A(t)x + h(t)$$

has a unique solution x(t) with  $x(t_0) = x_0$  which exists for all t. **Proof.** It suffices to bound

|A(t)x + h(t)| by a function of the form  $\phi(t)\psi(|u|)$  with  $\phi$  continuous on  $\mathbf{R}$ ,  $\psi(u), C^1$  on  $\mathbf{R}$ , and  $\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{dv}{\psi(v)} = \infty$ .

We can use  $\phi(t) = \max(|A(t)|, |h(t)|)$  and  $\psi(u) = u + 1$ . QED