## Groups of local characteristic p

Barbara Baumeister

Andy Chermak

Andreas Hirn

Mario Mainardis

Ulrich Meierfrankenfeld

Gemma Parmeggiani

Chris Parker

Peter Rowley

Bernd Stellmacher

Gernot Stroth

G is a finite  $\mathcal{K}_p$ -group, and p a fixed prime.

G has characteristic p if  $C_G(O_p(G)) \leq O_p(G)$ .

*p*-local subgroup: Normalizer of a non-trivial *p*-subgroup.

G has **local characteristic** p if all p-local subgroups of G have characteristic p.

**Object of the talk:** Describe the current status of the project to understand and classify the finite groups of local characteristic p with  $O_p(G) = 1$ .

**Disclaimer:** For p odd we do not expect to be able to achieve a complete classification. Some groups with a relatively small p-local structure will remain unclassified. In particular, we currently have no idea how to treat the case where G has a strongly p-embedded subgroup.

## Motivation

1. We are trying to understand why the p-local subgroups of the finite simple groups look the way they do.

2. We hope that the classification of the groups of local characteristic 2 will serve as the first step in a third generation proof for the classification of the finite simple groups.

## Future plans

1. Understand and classify all groups of parabolic characteristic p.

(Here a parabolic subgroup of G is a subgroup which contains a Sylow p-subgroup. And G is of parabolic characteritic p if all plocal, parabolic subgroups of G have characteristic p.)

2. Classify all finite simple groups which are not of parabolic characteristic 2.

# Characteristics of the simple groups Groups of Lie-Type

Let G be a finite simple group of Lie type defined over a field of characteristic r.

If p = r, then G is of local characteristic p.

If  $p \neq r$  and a Sylow *p*-subgroup of *G* is not cyclic, then *G* is usually not of parabolic characteristic *p*.

Some exceptions:

 $U_3(3) \cong G_2(2)'$ ,  $Sp_4(2)' \cong L_2(9)$ ,  $P\Omega_5(3) \cong \Omega_6^-(2)$ ,  $L_3(4)$  and  $U_4(3)$  all have local characteristics 2 and 3.

 $L_4(3)$  has parabolic characteristics 2 and 3.

### Alternating groups

The alternating groups usually have no local characteristic. But  $Alt(p^n + \epsilon), \epsilon \leq 2$  has parabolic characteristic p.

## Characteristics of the sporadics

Group	local char.	parabolic char.	
M <sub>11</sub>	3	3	
M <sub>12</sub>		2,3	
$J_1$			
M <sub>22</sub>	2	2	
$J_2$		2	
M <sub>23</sub>	2	2	
HS		2	
$J_3$	2	2	
M <sub>24</sub>	2	2	
McL	3	3	
He		2	
Ru		2,5	
Suz	2		
ON	7	7 7	
Co <sub>3</sub>		3,5	
Co <sub>2</sub>	2	3,5	
<i>Fi</i> <sub>22</sub>	2	2	
HN		2,3,5	
Ly	5	5	
Th	2,5	2,3,5	
Fi <sub>23</sub>		3	
$Co_1$		2,3,5	
$J_4$	2,11	2,11	
$Fi'_{24}$		2,3,7	
В		2,3,5	
M		2, 3, 5, 7, 13	

Here we only listed cases with non-cyclic Sylow  $p\mbox{-}$  subgroup.

## Notation

G is a group of local characteristic p with  $O_p(G) = 1$ .

 $\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}_G = \{ L \le G \mid C_G(O_p(L)) \le O_p(L) \}$ 

Note that  $\mathcal{L}$  contains all the *p*-local subgroups of G.

 $\mathcal{M}$  is the set of maximal members of  $\mathcal{L}$  (by inclusion), i.e., the set of maximal *p*-local subgroups of G.

If  ${\mathcal T}$  is a set of subgroups of G and  $A\leq G$  , then

 $\mathcal{T}(A) = \{T \in \mathcal{T} \mid A \leq T\}$  and

 $\mathcal{T}_A = \{ T \in \mathcal{T} \mid T \le A \}.$ 

S is a Sylow p-subgroup of G.

 $Z = \Omega_1 \mathsf{Z}(S).$ 

*p*-core of G with respect to S:  $\langle \mathcal{M}(S) \rangle$ .

### The Pushing Up Theorem

Let H be a finite group and  $T \in Syl_p(H)$ . The group

$$P_H(T) := O^{p'}(C_H(\Omega_1 \mathsf{Z}(T)))$$

is called the **point-stabilizer** of H with respect to T.

**Theorem** Let T be a p-group and let  $\Sigma$  be a set of groups such that for all  $L \in \Sigma$ 

i) L is of characteristic p.

ii)  $T \leq L$  and  $T = O_p(N_L(T))$ .

iii)  $N_L(T)$  contains a point stabilizer of L.

Suppose that no non-trivial subgroup of T is normal in all  $L \in \Sigma$ . Then there exist  $L \in \Sigma$  and  $H \leq L$ with  $B(T) \leq H$  such  $O^p(H)$  has one of the following structures

 $q^{n}SL_{n}(q)';$   $q^{2n}Sp_{2n}(q)', p \text{ odd};$   $q^{1+2n}Sp_{2n}(q)', p = 2;$   $2^{6}G_{2}(2)', p = 2;$   $q^{1+6+8}Sp_{6}(q), p = 2;$   $2^{1+4+6}L_{4}(2), p = 2; \text{ or}$   $q^{1+2+2}SL_{2}(q)', p = 3.$ (where q is a power of p)

### Strongly *p*-embedded subgroups

We say that H is a strongly p-embedded subgroup of G if  $H \neq G$  and  $H \cap H^g$  is a p'-group for all  $g \in G \setminus H$ .

An elementary argument shows that G has a strongly p-embedded subgroup if and only if  $\langle N_G(T) | 1 \neq T \leq S \rangle$  is a proper subgroup of G.

Bender classified all groups with a strongly 2-embedded subgroup.

For  $p \neq 2$  no such theorem exists (independent from the CFSG).

## The Open "Strongly *p*-embedded"-Problem

Determine all groups (of local characteristic p) with a strongly p-embedded subgroup and non-cyclic Sylow p-groups.

#### **Proper** *p*-core

Suppose now that G has no strongly p-embedded subgroup but the p-core  $H := \langle \mathcal{M}(S) \rangle = \langle N_G(T) \mid 1 \neq T \leq S \rangle$  is a proper subgroup of G.

Choose  $L \in \mathcal{L}$  such that, in consecutive order,  $L \not\leq H$ ,  $|L \cap H|_p$  maximal, and Lis minimal. An application of the Pushing Up Theorem gives us that  $O^p(L) \sim$  $q^{\epsilon}q^2SL_2(q)', \epsilon \in \{0,1\}.$ 

For p = 2, Andreas Hirn is currently trying to obtain a contradiction in this situation.

## The case $G = \langle \mathcal{M}(S) \rangle$

From now on we assume that G is equal to its p-core.

The basic idea here is to determine the structure of sufficiently many members L of  $\mathcal{L}(S)$  to be able to identify a geometry on which G acts.

Let H and  $\widehat{H}$  be finite groups and T and  $\widehat{T}$  Sylow p-subgroups of H and  $\widehat{H}$ , respectively. We say that H has residual parabolic type  $\widehat{H}$  if there exists a subset  $\Lambda$  of  $\mathcal{L}_H(T)$  with  $H = \langle \Lambda \rangle$  and an inclusion preserving bijection  $\mathcal{L}_{\widehat{H}}(\widehat{T}) \to \Lambda, \widehat{L} \mapsto L$  such that for all  $\widehat{L} \in \mathcal{L}_{\widehat{H}}(T), L/O_p(L) \cong \widehat{L}/O_p(\widehat{L}).$ 

Often the residual parabolic type of a group is enough to identify it. So one of our main tasks is to derive information about  $L/O_p(L)$  for at least some members of  $\mathcal{L}(S)$ . Our favorite method for this is to study the action of L on p-reduced normal subgroups, i.e. elementary abelian normal p-subgroups Y of L with

$$O_p(L/C_L(Y)) = 1.$$

 $Y_L$  is the largest *p*-reduced subgroup of *L*.

## Modules

Let H be a finite group, V a p-reduced  $\mathbb{F}_pH$ -module and A an elementary abelian p-subgroup of V with  $[V, A] \neq 1$ .

If  $|V/C_V(A)| \leq |A/C_A(V)|$ , then A is an offender on V, and V is a **FF-module** for G.

If (i) *A* is an offender on  $C_V(a)$ , for all  $a \in A \setminus C_A(V)$  (ii) [V, A, A, A] = 1 and (iii)  $|V/C_V(A)| \leq |A/C_A(V)|^2$ , then *A* is **near offender** on *V*, and *V* is a **near FF-module** for *G*.

If [V, A, A] = 1, then A is **quadratic** on V, and V is a **quadratic module** for G.

Note that FF- and near FF-modules are special cases of 2F-modules  $(|V/C_V(A)| \le |A/C_A(V)|^2)$ . So a list of FF-modules and near 2F-modules for quasi-simple groups can be easily obtained once the work of Guralnick and Malle on 2F-modules is complete.

Unfortunately the action of L on  $Y_L$  does not yield any information about  $C_L(Y_L)$ . An elementary argument shows that Z := $\Omega_1 Z(S) \leq Y_L$  and so  $C_L(Y_L) \leq C_G(Z)$ .

So to make up for this misfortune we also study the group  $N_G(Z)$ . For this we pick

 $\widetilde{C} \in \mathcal{M}$  with  $N_G(Z) \leq \widetilde{C}$ .

For a group H, define  $F_p^*(H)$  by  $F_p^*(H)/O_p(H) = F^*(H/O_p(H)).$ 

To work with a group which is a little bit more manageable than  $\tilde{C}$  we define  $E := O^p(F_p^*(C_{\tilde{C}}(Y_{\tilde{C}}))).$ 

We now distinguish two cases:

*E*-uniqueness (*E*!):  $\mathcal{M}(E) = \{\tilde{C}\}$ 

and

non *E*-uniqueness  $(\neg E!)$ :  $|\mathcal{M}(E)| \ge 2$ .

#### $\neg E!$ , an example

Here is an example for the  $\neg E!$  case which illustrates why we look at overgroups of E despite the fact that these overgroups might not contain a Sylow *p*-subgroup.

Let p = 2 and  $G = F_4(q).2$ , where the 2 induces a graph automorphism. We would like to identify G via the  $F_4$ -building



But due to the graph automorphisms, not all of the parabolics of  $F_4(q)$  are contained in parabolics of G. Now  $E \leq F_4(q)$ , namely E is the  $\longrightarrow$ o-parabolic. So E is contained in two different maximal parabolics  $M_1$  and  $M_4$  of  $F_4(q)$ .

Let  $\Sigma = \{M_1, M_4\}$  and  $R = O_2(M_1 \cap M_4)$ . Then it is not too difficult to see that Rand  $\Sigma$  fulfill the assumption of the Pushing Up Theorem.

### $\neg E!$ , a second example

Consider  $G = E_8(q) \wr \text{Sym}(p^k)$ . Here *E* helps us to detect that *G* is not of local characteristic *p*.

Let H be the normalizer of a root subgroup in  $E_8(q)$ , i.e. the  $E_7$ -parabolic. Then  $\tilde{C}$  is  $H \wr \text{Sym}(p^k)$ , and E is a direct product of  $p^k$ copies of H. Hence, E is contained in the p-local subgroup L which is a direct product of  $p^k - 1$  copies of H and  $E_8(q)$ . The general idea of the  $\neg E!$  case is to find a subgroup R of G and  $\Sigma \subseteq \mathcal{L}(RE)$  such that we can apply the Pushing Up Theorem to R and  $\Sigma$ .

For this we make the following choices:

X is a point-stabilizer of some subnormal subgroup of  $\tilde{C}$ , such that X is maximal with respect to  $\mathcal{M}(EX) \neq \{\tilde{C}\}$ .

Next choose L such that in consecutive order:

 $L \in \mathcal{L}(EX)$  with  $L \not\leq \widetilde{C}$ .

 $|\tilde{C} \cap L|_p$  is maximal.

 $S_{\widetilde{C}}(L)$  is maximal, here  $S_{\widetilde{C}}(L)$  is the largest subnormal subgroup of  $\widetilde{C}$  contained in L.

 $\widetilde{C} \cap L$  is maximal.

L is minimal.

Define  $R = O_p(L \cap \tilde{C})$ . The following two situations need to be treated differently:

(PU-L): 
$$N_{\widetilde{C}}(R) \neq L \cap \widetilde{C}.$$

$$(\neg \mathsf{PU-L})$$
:  $N_{\widetilde{C}}(R) = L \cap \widetilde{C}.$ 

In the (PU-L)-Case put  $H = N_{\widetilde{C}}(R)$  and  $\Sigma = L^{H}$ . A short and elementary argument shows that we can apply the Pushing Up Theorem.

The ( $\neg$  PU-L)-Case is more difficult. Here we choose an  $\tilde{C} \cap L$  invariant subnormal subgroup N of  $\tilde{C}$  minimal with respect to  $N \not\leq L$ . Put  $H = N(\tilde{C} \cap L)$  and  $\Sigma = (H, L)$ . If  $Y_H \leq O_p(L)$  a rather lengthy amalgam type argument shows that the Pushing Up Theorem<sup>\*</sup> can be applied. This leaves us with

\*Actually one needs a stronger (not yet finished) version of the Pushing Up Theorem than stated above

## The Open " $\neg E!, b = 1$ "-Problem

In the  $\neg E!$  and  $(\neg PU-L)$  Case, determine the structure of H and L if  $Y_H \not\leq O_p(L)$ .

#### E!

We usually apply E! through an intermediate property we call Q-uniqueness. Let  $Q = O_p(\tilde{C})$ .

$$(Q!) \quad C_G(x) \leq \tilde{C} \text{ for all } 1 \neq x \in C_G(Q).$$

An application of Thompson's  $P \times Q$ -Lemma shows that [x, E] = 1 for all  $x \in \Omega_1 Z(Q)$ . Hence  $E \leq C_G(x)$  and so E! implies  $C_G(x) \leq \tilde{C}$ . Thus

E! implies Q!

Elementary consequences of Q! For  $L \in \mathcal{L}$  define  $L^{\circ} = \langle Q^g \mid g \in G, Q^g \leq L \rangle$ .

Lemma Suppose Q!.

- (a)  $\widetilde{C}^{\circ} = Q$ , in particular, any *p*-subgroup of *G* contains at most one conjugate of *Q*.
- (b) If  $L \in \mathcal{L}$  with  $Q \leq O_p(L)$ , then  $L \leq \widetilde{C}$ . In particular, if  $1 \neq X \leq Z(Q)$  then  $N_G(X) \leq \widetilde{C}$ .
- (c) If  $Q_1, Q_2 \in Q^G$  with  $Z(Q_1) \cap Z(Q_2) \neq 1$ , then  $Q_1 = Q_2$ .
- (d) Let  $L \in \mathcal{L}$  with  $Q \leq L$ . Then
  - (a)  $L^{\circ} = \langle Q^{L^{\circ}} \rangle$
  - (b)  $L = L^{\circ}(L \cap \widetilde{C}).$
  - (c)  $[C_L(Y_L), L^\circ] \leq O_p(L).$
  - (d) If L acts transitively on  $Y_L^{\sharp}$ , then  $L^{\circ} = N_G(Y_L)^{\circ}$ .
  - (e) If  $L^{\circ} \neq Q$ , then  $C_{Y_{L}}(L^{\circ}) = 1$ .

To state our first Structure Theorem we need a few more definitions.

A finite group L is p-minimal if a Sylow p-subgroup of L is contained in a unique maximal subgroup of L but is not normal in L.

 $P \in \mathcal{L}$  is a **minimal parabolic subgroup** if P is parabolic and p-minimal.

 $\mathcal{P}$  denotes the set of minimal parabolics of G.

For  $\mathcal{T} \subseteq \mathcal{L}$  let  $\mathcal{T}^{\circ} = \{T \in \mathcal{T} \mid O^p(T) \leq T^{\circ}\}.$ 

It is an easy consequence of the definitions that if  $P \in \mathcal{P}(S)$ , then  $P \in \mathcal{P}^{\circ}$  if and only if  $P \not\leq \tilde{C}$ .

Let  $P \in \mathcal{P}^{\circ}(S)$ . We say that gb(P) > 1 if  $Y_M \leq Q$  for all  $M \in \mathcal{L}(P)$ . Otherwise we say gb(P) = 1.

#### The Structure Theorem for $Y_M \leq Q$

**Theorem** Suppose that Q! holds and that  $P \in \mathcal{P}^{\circ}(S)$  with gb(P) > 1. Let  $M \in \mathcal{L}(P)$  with  $M^{\circ}$  maximal. Then one of the following two cases holds for  $\overline{M} := M/C_M(Y_M)$  and  $M_0 := M^{\circ}C_S(Y_M)$ :

1.

- (a)  $\overline{M_0} \cong SL_n(p^k)$  or  $Sp_{2n}(p^k)$  and  $C_{\overline{M}}(\overline{M_0})$  $\cong C_r, \ r|p^k - 1, \text{ or } \overline{M} \cong Sp_4(2)$  and  $\overline{M_0} \cong Sp_4(2)'$  (and p = 2),
- (b)  $[Y_M, M_0]$  is the corresponding natural module for  $\overline{M_0}$ ,
- (c)  $C_{M_0}(Y_M) = O_p(M_0)$ , or p = 2 and  $M_0/O_2(M_0) \cong 3Sp_4(2)'$ .

- (a)  $P = M_0 S$ ,  $Y_M = Y_P$ , and there exists a unique normal subgroup  $P^*$  of P containing  $O_p(P)$  such that
- (b)  $\overline{P^*} = K_1 \times \cdots \times K_r$ ,  $K_i \cong SL_2(p^k)$ ,  $Y_M = V_1 \times \cdots \times V_r$ , where  $V_i := [Y_M, K_i]$  is a natural  $K_i$ -module,
- (c) Q permutes the subgroups  $K_i$  of (b) transitively,
- (d)  $O^p(P) = O^p(P^*) = O^p(M_0)$ , and  $P^*C_M(Y_P)$  is normal in M,
- (e) either  $C_{M^{\circ}}(Y_P) = O_p(M_0)$ , or p = 2, r > 1,  $K_i \cong SL_2(2)$ , and  $C_{M_0}(Y_P)/O_2(M_0)$  $= Z(M_0/O_2(M_0))$  is a 3-group.

## The Structure Theorem for $Y_M \not\leq Q$ .

**Theorem** Let  $M \in \mathcal{L}(S)$  with  $M^{\circ}$  maximal. Assume that  $Y_M \not\leq Q$ . Set  $K = F^*(M^{\circ}S/C_{M^{\circ}S}(Y_M))$ . Then one of the following holds:

- 1. *K* is quasisimple and isomorphic to SL(n,q), Sp(2n,q)',  $\Omega^{\pm}(n,q)$ , or  $E_6(q)$ , *q* a power of *p*. In case of  $K \cong SL_n(q)$  or  $E_6(q)$  no element in  $M^{\circ}S$ induces diagram automorphisms.
- 2.  $K \cong SL_n(q)' * SL_m(q)'$ , q a power of p. Further  $Y_M$  is the tensor product module.
- 3. p = 2 and  $K \cong 3A_6$ ,  $M_{22}$  or  $M_{24}$ .
- 4. p = 3 and  $K \cong M_{11}$  or  $2M_{12}$ .
- 5.  $M^{\circ}S$  is a minimal parabolic.

Further  $Y_M$  is a near FF-module, and except for case 5,  $Y_M$  contains a  $M^\circ S$  submodule V as described on the next slide.

K	prime	module	example
$SL_n(q)$	p	ext. square	$\Omega_{2n}(q)$
$SL_n(q)$	p	sym. square	$Sp_{2n}(q)$
$SL_n(q^2)$	p	$V(\lambda_1)\otimes V(\lambda_1^\sigma)$	$SU_{2n}(q)$
$A_{6}$	2	natural	Suz
3A6	2	6-dim	$M_{24}$
<i>Sp</i> <sub>8</sub> (2)	2	8-dim	B
$\Omega_n^\pm(q)$	p	natural	$\Omega_{n+2}^{\pm}(q)$
$\Omega^{\pm}_{10}(q)$	2	half spin	$E_6(q)$
$E_{6}(q)$	p	$V(\lambda_1)$	$E_7(q)$
$M_{11}$	3	5-dim	$Co_3$
$2M_{12}$	3	6-dim	$Co_2$
$M_{22}$	2	10-dim	M(22)
$M_{24}$	2	11-dim	<i>M</i> (24)

#### The *P*!-Theorems

**The P!-Theorem,I** Suppose that Q! holds and  $\langle \mathcal{P}^{\circ}(S) \rangle \notin \mathcal{L}$ . Then

(a) p is odd.

- (b) Q = B(S),  $\tilde{C} = N_G(B(S))$  and Q has order  $q^3$ , q a power of p.
- (c)  $P^{\circ} \sim q^2 SL_2(q)$  for all  $P \in \mathcal{P}^{\circ}(S)$ .

We say that P-Uniqueness (P!) holds in G provided that:

(P!-1) There exists a unique 
$$P \in \mathcal{P}^{\circ}(S)$$
.

- (P!-2)  $P^{\circ}/O_p(P^{\circ}) \cong SL_2(q)$ , q a power of p.
- (P!-3)  $Y_P$  is a natural module for  $P^{\circ}$ .
- (P!-4)  $C_{Y_P}(S \cap P^\circ)$  is normal in  $\widetilde{C}$ .

#### The P!-Theorem, II Suppose that

- (i) Q! holds.
- (ii) There exists  $P \in \mathcal{P}^{\circ}(S)$  with gb(P) > 1.
- (iii)  $M := \langle \mathcal{P}^{\circ}(S) \rangle \in \mathcal{L}$
- Then P! holds in G.

## The $\widetilde{P}$ ! Theorem

Suppose Q! and P! and let P be the unique member of  $\mathcal{P}^{\circ}(S)$ . We say that  $\tilde{P}!$  holds in G provided that

- $(\widetilde{P}!-1)$  There exists at most one  $\widetilde{P} \in \mathcal{P}(S)$  such that  $\widetilde{P}$  does not normalize  $P^{\circ}$  and  $M := \langle P, \widetilde{P} \rangle \in \mathcal{L}$ .
- $(\widetilde{P}$ !-2) If such a  $\widetilde{P}$  exists then,
  - (a)  $M \in \mathcal{L}^{\circ}$ .
  - (b)  $M^{\circ}/C_{M^{\circ}}(Y_M) \cong SL_3(q), Sp_4(q) \text{ or } Sp_4(2)'$
  - (c)  $Y_M$  is a corresponding natural module.

**The**  $\widetilde{P}$ ! **Theorem** Suppose Q! and that gb(P) > 1 for some  $P \in \mathcal{P}^{\circ}(S)$ . Then one of the following is true:

- 1. *G* fulfills  $\tilde{P}$ !.
- 2. Let  $\widetilde{P} \in \mathcal{P}(S)$  with  $\widetilde{P} \not\leq N_G(P^\circ)$  and  $M := \langle P, \widetilde{P} \rangle \in \mathcal{L}$ . Then
  - (a) p = 3 or 5.
  - (b)  $M/O_p(M) \cong SL_3(p)$ .
  - (c)  $O_p(M)/Z(O_p(M))$  and  $Z(O_p(M))$  are natural  $SL_3(p)$ -modules for  $M/O_p(M)$ , dual to each other.

Define the rank of G to be the minimal size of a non-empty subset  $\Sigma$  of  $\mathcal{P}(S)$  with  $\langle \Sigma \rangle \notin \mathcal{L}$ . If no such subset exists we define the rank to be 1. Note that rank G = 1 if and only if  $|\mathcal{M}(S)| = 1$ , which is impossible under our current assumption that G is equal to its p-core.

## Elementary consequences of P! and $\tilde{P}!$

**Lemma** Suppose E!, P!,  $\tilde{P}!$  and that G has rank at least three. Let  $L = N_G(P^\circ)$  and  $H = (L \cap \tilde{C})E$ . Then

(a) There exists a unique  $\tilde{P} \in \mathcal{P}_H(S)$  with  $\tilde{P} \not\leq L$ . Moreover,  $\tilde{P} \leq ES$ .

(b)  $\tilde{P}/O_p(\tilde{P}) \sim SL_2(q).p^k$ .

(c) H has a unique p-component K.

(d)  $H = K(L \cap H)$ ,  $L \cap H$  is a maximal subgroup of H and  $O_p(H \cap L) \neq O_p(H)$ .

(e) Let  $D = C_H(K/O_p(K))$ . Then  $D/O_p(H)$  is isomorphic to a section of the Borel subgroup of Aut $(SL_2(q))$ .

(f) Let  $Z_0 = C_{Y_P}(S \cap P^\circ)$  and  $V = \langle Y_P^H \rangle$ . Then  $Z_0 \leq V$  and  $V \leq Q \leq O_p(H)$ .

(g) Let  $\overline{V} = V/Z_0$ . Then  $H \cap L$  contains a point-stabilizer for H on  $\overline{V}$ .

(h)  $\langle H,L\rangle \notin \mathcal{L}$ .

#### The Small World Theorem

Suppose Q! and let  $P \in \mathcal{P}^{\circ}(S)$ . We say that gb(P) = 2 if gb(P) > 1 and  $\langle (Y_P)^E \rangle$  is not abelian.

The Small World Theorem Suppose E!and let  $P \in \mathcal{P}^{\circ}(S)$ . Then one of the following holds:

- 1. G has rank 1 or 2.
- 2. gb(P) = 1 or gb(P) = 2.
- 3. Neither 1. nor 2. hold and

(a) There exists a unique  $M \in \mathcal{M}(S)$ with  $\tilde{C} \neq M \neq N_G(P^\circ)$ .

(b) 
$$M^{\circ}/C_{M^{\circ}}(Y_M) \cong SL_3(q)$$
 or  $Sp_4(q)$ .

(c)  $\tilde{C}$  has a unique p-component K and  $K/O_p(K) \cong SL_3(q)$ ,  $Sp_4(q)$  or  $G_2(q)$ .

## The Open Rank 3 Problem

Rule out Case 3 of the Small World Theorem.

#### The rank 2 Case

**Rank 2 Theorem, I** Suppose E!, P!,  $\tilde{P}!$  and that G has rank 2. Choose  $\tilde{P} \in \mathcal{P}(S)$  such that

(i)  $\langle P, \widetilde{P} \rangle \notin \mathcal{L}$ .

(ii)  $H := \langle P \cap \widetilde{C}, \widetilde{P} \rangle$  is minimal with respect to (i).

(iii)  $\tilde{P}$  is minimal with respect to (??) and (??)

Then one of the following holds:

1. 
$$Y_P \not\leq O_p(\widetilde{P})$$
.

- 2.  $(P^{\circ}N_H(P^{\circ}), H)$  is a weak BN-pair.
- 3. The structure of P and  $\tilde{P}$  is as in one of the following groups.
  - 1. For p = 2:  $U_4(3).2^e$ ,  $G_2(3).2^e$ ,  $D_4(3).2^e$ ,  $HS.2^e$ ,  $F_3$ ,  $F_5.2^e$  or Ru.
  - 2. For p = 3:  $D_4(3^n) \cdot 3^e$ ,  $F_{23}$ ,  $F_2$ .
  - 3. For p = 5:  $F_2$ .
  - 4. For p = 7:  $F_1$ .

In Case 2. one can apply the Delgado-Stellmacher Weak-BN Pair paper. Which leave us in the rank 2 Case with

## The Open "rank 2, gb(P)=1" Problem

Suppose E! holds and there exist  $P \in \mathcal{P}^{\circ}(S)$ and  $\tilde{P} \in \mathcal{P}(S)$  such that  $\langle P, \tilde{P} \rangle \notin \mathcal{L}$  and gb(P) = 1. Determine the structure of Pand  $\tilde{P}$ .

## gb(P)=2

## The Open "gb(P)=2" Problem

Suppose E!, P!,  $\tilde{P}!$  and that  $\langle Y_P^E \rangle$  is not abelian. Determine the structure of P and E.

The "gb(P) = 2"-Problem is actually just a special case of the symplectic amalgams treated by Parker and Rowley. But since the assumptions of the "gb(P) = 2"-Problem are stronger than for symplectic amalgams, we believe that a significantly shorter proof should be possible.

## gb(P)=1

The *H*-Structure Theorem ( for p=2) Suppose *E*!, rank  $G \ge 3$  and that there exists  $M \in \mathcal{M}(S)$  with  $M^{\circ}$  maximal and  $Y_M \not\leq Q$ . If p = 2, then there exists  $M^{\circ}S \le H \le G$ with  $O_p(H) = 1$  such that *H* is of parabolic type  $H^*$  where  $H^*$  is one of the following groups:

- 1. A group of Lie-Type in characteristic p with Lie-rank at least three.
- 2.  $M_{24}, He, Co_2, M(22).2^e, Co_1, J_4, M(24)'.2^e,$ Suz,  $F_2$  or  $F_1$ .

3.  $U_4(3).2^e$ .

Moreover,  $M^{\circ}S$  has the same structure as its corresponding group in  $H^*$ .