

DISCRETE MATHEMATICS

Discrete Mathematics 139 (1995) 455-461

## Note

## A relative of the Thue-Morse sequence

Jean-Paul Allouche<sup>a</sup>, André Arnold<sup>b</sup>, Jean Berstel<sup>c</sup>, Srećko Brlek<sup>d</sup>, William Jockusch<sup>e</sup>, Simon Plouffe<sup>d</sup>, Bruce E. Sagan<sup>f, \*</sup>

<sup>a</sup> C.N.R.S. U.R.A. 0226, Math., Université Bordeaux I, F-33405 Talence cedex, France <sup>b</sup> LaBRI, Université Bordeaux I, F-33405 Talence cedex, France

<sup>e</sup> LITP, IBP, Université Paris VI, F-75252 Paris 05, France <sup>d</sup> Département de Mathématiques et Informatique, UQAM, Montréal, Canada H3C 3P8 <sup>e</sup>Department of Mathematics, University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, MI 48109, USA

<sup>f</sup>Department of Mathematics, Michigan State University, East Lansing, MI 48824-1027, USA

Received 1 September 1992

## Abstract

We study a sequence, c, which encodes the lengths of blocks in the Thue-Morse sequence. In particular, we show that the generating function for c is a simple product.

Keywords: Generating function; Thue-Morse sequence

Consider the sequence

*c*:  $c_0, c_1, c_2, c_3, \ldots = 1, 3, 4, 5, 7, 9, 11, 12, 13, \ldots$ 

defined to be the lexicographically least sequence of positive integers satisfying  $n \in c$  implies  $2n \notin c$ . In fact, the lexicographic minimality of c makes it possible to replace the previous 'implies' with 'if and only if.' Equivalently, c is defined inductively by  $c_0 = 1$  and

$$c_{k+1} = \begin{cases} c_k + 1 & \text{if } (c_k + 1)/2 \notin c, \\ c_k + 2 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$
(1)

for  $k \ge 0$ . This sequence was the focus of a problem of Kimberling in [7]. (In fact, he looked at the sequence  $4c_0, 4c_1, 4c_2, ...$ ) The solution was given by Bloom [4]. Our Corollary 7 answers essentially the same question. Related results have recently been announced by Tamura [9].

0012-365X/95/\$09.50 © 1995—Elsevier Science B.V. All rights reserved SSDI 0012-365X(93)00147-B

<sup>\*</sup> Corresponding author.

At the 4è Colloque Séries Formelles et Combinatoire Algébrique (Montréal, June 1992) Plouffe and Zimmermann [8] posed the following problem. Show that the generating function for c is

$$\sum_{k\geq 0} c_k x^k = \frac{1}{1-x} \prod_{j\geq 1} \frac{1-x^{2e_j}}{1-x^{e_j}} = \frac{1}{1-x} \prod_{j\geq 1} (1+x^{e_j})$$
(2)

the sequence of exponents being

$$e: e_1, e_2, e_3, e_4, \dots = 1, 1, 3, 5, 11, 21, 43, \dots$$

where  $e_1 = 1$  and

$$e_{j+1} = \begin{cases} 2e_j + 1 & \text{if } j \text{ is even,} \\ 2e_j - 1 & \text{if } j \text{ is odd,} \end{cases}$$
(3)

for  $j \ge 1$ . They found this conjecture by using a method that goes back to Euler. First they assumed that the generating function was of the form

$$\prod_{j\ge 0}\frac{1-x^{a_j}}{1-x^{b_j}}$$

for a certain pair of sequences  $a_j$ ,  $b_j$ . Then they took the logarithm to convert the product into a sum. Finally they used Möbius inversion to determine the candidate sequences. Details of this procedure can be found in the text of Andrews [2, Theorem 10.3].

The purpose of this note is to prove (2). Before doing this, however, we will show that c has a number of other interesting properties. Chief among these is the fact that c is closely related to the famous Thue-Morse sequence, t. See the survey article of Berstel [3] for more information about t.

First we need to have a characterization of the integers in the sequence c.

**Proposition 1.** If n is any positive integer then  $n \in c$  if and only if  $n = 2^{2i}(2j+1)$  for some nonnegative integers i and j.

**Proof.** Every positive integer *n* can be uniquely written in the form  $n = 2^k(2j+1)$  where  $k, j \ge 0$ . We will proceed by induction on *k*.

If k=0, then n is odd. But then n/2 is not an integer, and so n is in the sequence by definition (1).

Now assume that  $k \ge 1$  and that the proposition holds for all powers less than k of 2. If k = 2i is even, then by induction we have  $2^{2i-1}(2j+1)\notin c$ . So  $n = 2^{2i}(2j+1)\in c$  by (1). On the other hand, if k = 2i+1 is odd, then induction implies  $2^{2i}(2j+1)\in c$ . Thus  $n = 2^{2i+1}(2j+1)\notin c$  as desired.  $\Box$  Let  $\chi$  be the characteristic function of c, i.e.,

$$\chi(n) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } n \in c, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Restating the previous proposition in terms of  $\chi$  yields the next result.

**Lemma 2.** The function  $\chi$  is uniquely determined by the equations

$$\chi(2n+1) = 1$$
  
$$\chi(4n+2) = 0$$
  
$$\chi(4n) = \chi(n).$$

Another way of obtaining the sequence  $\chi(n)$  for  $n \ge 1$  is as follows. Starting from the sequence

defined on the alphabet  $\{0, 1, \bullet\}$ , fill in the successive holes with the successive terms of the sequence itself, obtaining:

101110101011101 • · · ·

Iterating this process infinitely many times (by inserting the initial sequence into the holes at each step), one gets a 'Toeplitz transform' which is nothing but our sequence  $\chi$ . The proof of this fact is easily obtained using Lemma 2. See the article of Allouche and Bacher [1] for more information about Toeplitz transformations.

The connection with the Thue-Morse sequence can now be obtained. This sequence is

*t*:  $t_0, t_1, t_2, t_3, \dots = 0, 1, 1, 0, 1, 0, 0, 1, \dots$ 

defined by the conditions

$$t_0 = 0,$$
  

$$t_{2n+1} \equiv t_n + 1 \pmod{2},$$
  

$$t_{2n} = t_n.$$

We will need a lemma relating t and  $\chi$ . All congruences in this and any future results will be mod 2.

Lemma 3. For every positive integer, n, we have

$$\chi(n) \equiv t_n + t_{n-1}.$$

**Proof.** This is a three case induction based on Lemma 2 and the definitions of  $\chi$  and t. We will only do one of the cases as the others are similar.

$$t_{4n} + t_{4n-1} \equiv t_{2n} + t_{2n-1} + 1$$
$$\equiv t_n + t_{n-1} + 2$$
$$\equiv \chi(n)$$
$$= \chi(4n). \square$$

Define  $d_k$  to be the first difference sequence of  $c_k$ , i.e.,  $d_k = c_k - c_{k-1}$ , for  $k \ge 0$   $(c_{-1} = 0)$ . So d is the sequence

$$d_0, d_1, d_2, d_3, d_4, \ldots = 1, 2, 1, 1, 2, 2, 2, 1, 1, 2, 1, \ldots$$

Note that from the definition of c in (1), the value of  $d_k$  is either 1 or 2. Write the Thue-Morse sequence in term of its blocks

 $t = 011010011 \cdots = 0^{d'_0} 1^{d'_1} 0^{d'_2} 1^{d'_3} \cdots$ 

defining a sequence  $d'_k$ . It is this sequence that is related to our original one via the difference operator.

**Theorem 4.** For all  $k \ge 0$  we have  $d_k = d'_k$ .

**Proof.** Since both sequences consist of 1's and 2's, we need only verify that the 1's appear in the same places in both. It will be convenient to let  $c'_k = \sum_{i \le k} d'_i$ . We now proceed by induction on k, assuming that  $d_i = d'_i$  for  $i \le k$ . Then, from the definitions,

$$d_{k+1} = 1 \iff \chi(c_k + 1) = 1. \tag{4}$$

But by the induction hypothesis,  $c_k = \sum_{i \leq k} d_i = \sum_{i \leq k} d'_i = c'_k$ . So, from Eq. (4),

$$d_{k+1} = 1 \iff \chi(c'_k + 1) = 1$$
  
$$\Leftrightarrow t_{c'_k+1} + t_{c'_k} \equiv 1 \quad \text{(Lemma 3)}$$
  
$$\Leftrightarrow t_{c'_k+1} \neq t_{c'_k}$$
  
$$\Leftrightarrow d'_{k+1} = 1 \quad \text{(definitions).} \qquad \Box$$

Brlek [5] used the sequence d in calculating the number of factors of t of given length. The paper of de Luca and Varricchio [6] attacks the same problem in a different way.

Now if  $n \in c$  then we will consider its rank, r(n), which is the function satisfying  $c_{r(n)} = n$ . Note that r(n) is not defined for all positive integers n. In order to obtain a formula for r(n), we will need a definition. Let the base 2 expansion of n be

$$n = \sum_{i \ge 0} \varepsilon_i 2^i$$

with the  $\varepsilon_i \in \{0, 1\}$  for all *i*. Define a function *s* by

$$s(n) = \sum_{i \ge 0} (-1)^i \varepsilon_i.$$

In other words, s(n) is the alternating sum of the binary digits of n.

**Theorem 5.** If  $n \in c$  then

$$r(n) = (2n + s(n))/3 - 1.$$
(5)

**Proof.** The proof will be by induction. From Proposition 1,  $n \in c$  if and only if *n* is odd or  $n = 2^{2i}(2j+1)$  where i > 0 and  $j \ge 0$ . To facilitate the induction, it will be convenient to split the odd numbers into two groups depending upon whether the highest power of 2 dividing n+1 is even or odd. So there will be three cases

(1) 
$$n = 2^{2i}(2j+1),$$
  
(2)  $n = 2^{2i}(2j+1) - 1,$   
(3)  $n = 2^{2i-1}(2j+1) - 1,$ 

where i > 0 and  $j \ge 0$ . The arguments are similar, so we will only do the first case.

So suppose n is even (remember that i > 0). Thus n + 1 is odd and, by Proposition 1, we have  $n + 1 \in c$ . Since both n and n + 1 are in c, the left-hand side of Eq. (5) satisfies r(n+1)=r(n)+1. So, by induction, it suffices to show that r'(n+1)=r'(n)+1 where r'(n) is the right-hand side of this equation. Moreover, n is a multiple of 4, hence s(n+1)=s(n)+1 (write down their binary expansions). Thus

$$r'(n+1) = (2n+2+s(n+1))/3 - 1$$
  
= (2n+2+s(n)+1)/3 - 1  
= (2n+s(n))/3  
= r'(n)+1. \Box

As a straightforward corollaries we have the next two results.

**Corollary 6.** If  $n \in c$  then

 $r(n) = 2n/3 + O(\log n)$ 

and r(n) takes the value 2n/3 infinitely often.

**Corollary 7.** For any nonnegative integer k

 $c_k = 3k/2 + O(\log k)$ 

and  $c_k = 3k/2$  infinitely often.

We shall now prove the identity (2). First we note a property of the exponents  $e_j$  which is a simple consequence of their definition (3).

**Lemma 8.** For  $k \ge 2$ , let  $f_k = \sum_{2 \le i \le k} e_i$ . Then

$$f_k = \begin{cases} e_{k+1} - 2 & \text{if } k \text{ is even,} \\ e_{k+1} - 1 & \text{if } k \text{ is odd.} \end{cases}$$

Finally, we come to the proof. We restate the generating function here for easy reference.

**Theorem 9.** The generating function for c is

$$\sum_{k \ge 0} c_k x^k = \frac{1}{1 - x} \prod_{j \ge 1} (1 + x^{e_j}).$$

**Proof.** It suffices to show that if  $k \ge 2$  then

$$g_k(x) = \frac{1}{1-x}(1+x^1)(1+x^1)(1+x^3)\cdots(1+x^{e_k})$$

is the generating function for the sequence

 $1, 3, 4, 5, 7, \ldots, c_{f_k}, 2^k, 2^k, 2^k, \ldots$ 

with  $c_{f_k} = 2^k - 1$ . The proof is an induction, breaking up into two parts depending on the parity of k. We will do the case where k is odd. (Even k is similar.) Now, by Lemma 8,  $g_k(x)(1 + x^{e_{k+1}})$  is the generating function for the sequence

$$1, 3, \ldots, c_{f_k}, 2^k + 1, 2^k + 3, \ldots, 2^k + c_{f_k}, 2^{k+1}, 2^{k+1}, \ldots$$

Using Proposition 1 and the fact that k is odd, we see that  $2^{k}+1=c_{f_{k}+1}$  and  $2^{k}+c_{f_{k}}=2^{k+1}-1=c_{f_{k}+1}$ . So we want to show that

 $c_{f_{k+1}}, c_{f_{k+2}}, \dots, c_{f_{k+1}} = 2^{k} + c_0, 2^{k} + c_1, \dots, 2^{k} + c_{f_k}.$ 

But if  $n < 2^k$ , then the highest power of 2 dividing *n* is equal to the highest power dividing  $2^k + n$ . Thus, by Proposition 1 again,  $n \in c$  if and only if  $2^k + n \in c$ . This gives us the desired equality of the two sequences.  $\Box$ 

One possible generalization of c is the sequence  $c^{(\alpha)}$  defined by  $n \in c^{(\alpha)}$  if and only if  $\alpha n \notin c^{(\alpha)}$ . Thus c is the special case  $\alpha = 2$ .

The following observation is a direct consequence of our definitions.

460

**Proposition 10.** If  $\chi^{(\alpha)}(n)$  is the characteristic function of  $c^{(\alpha)}$ , then the sequence  $(\chi^{(\alpha)}(n))$  is the unique fixed point of the morphism

$$1 \to 1^{\alpha - 1} 0,$$
$$0 \to 1^{\alpha - 1} 1$$

which begins with 1.

One can also see that  $c^{(\alpha)}$  satisfies analogs of many of our previous theorems. For example, if one defines  $e_1^{(\alpha)} = 1$  and

$$e_{j+1}^{(\alpha)} = \begin{cases} \alpha e_j^{(\alpha)} + 1 & \text{if } j \text{ is even,} \\ \alpha e_j^{(\alpha)} - 1 & \text{if } j \text{ is odd,} \end{cases}$$

for  $j \ge 1$ , then the following result is a generalization of Theorem 9 and has an analogous proof.

**Theorem 11.** The generating function for  $c^{(\alpha)}$  is

$$\frac{1}{1-x} \prod_{j \ge 1} \frac{1-x^{\alpha e_j^{(\alpha)}}}{1-x^{e_j^{(\alpha)}}}$$

## References

- J.-P. Allouche and R. Bacher, Toeplitz sequences, paperfolding, towers of Hanoi and progression-free sequences of integers, Ens. Math., 2e serie, 38, fascicule 3-4 (1992) 315-327.
- [2] G. Andrews, q-series: their development and application in analysis, number theory, combinatorics, physics, and computer algebra, in: Conf. Board of the Math. Sci. Regional Conf. Ser. in Mathematics. Vol. 66 (American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1986).
- [3] J. Berstel, Axel Thue's work on repetitions in words, in: P. Leroux and C. Reutenauer, eds., Séries Formelles et Combinatoire Algébrique, Publications du LACIM, Vol. 11 (Université du Québec à Montréal, 1992) 65-80.
- [4] D.M. Bloom, Solution to problem E 2850, Amer. Math. Monthly 89 (1982) 599-600.
- [5] S. Brlek, Enumeration of factors in the Thue-Morse word, Discrete Appl. Math. 24 (1989) 83-96.
- [6] A. de Luca and S. Varricchio, Some combinatorial properties of the Thue-Morse sequence, Theoret. Comput. Sci. 63 (1989) 333-348.
- [7] C. Kimberling, Problem E 2850, Amer. Math. Monthly 87 (1980) 671.
- [8] S. Plouffe and P. Zimmermann, Quelques conjectures, preprint (1992).
- [9] J. Tamura, Some problems and results having their origin in the power series  $\sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} z^{[2n]}$  sum from 1 to infinity of z to the integral part of alpha times n, Reports of the Meeting on Analytic Theory of Numbers and Related Topics, Gakushuin Univ., 1992, 190–212.