



Rooted partitions and number-theoretic functions

Bruce E. Sagan¹

Received: 29 October 2023 / Accepted: 5 December 2023

© The Author(s), under exclusive licence to Springer Science+Business Media, LLC, part of Springer Nature 2024

Abstract

Recently, Merca and Schmidt proved a number of identities relating partitions of an integer with two classic number-theoretic functions, namely the Möbius function and Euler's totient function. Their demonstrations were mainly algebraic. We give bijective proofs of some of these results. Our main tools are the concept of a rooted partition and an operation which we call the direct sum, which combines a partition and a rooted partition.

Keywords Bijection · Euler totient function · Integer partition · Möbius function

Mathematics subject classification 11P84 (Primary) · 11A25 · 05A17 · 05A19 (Secondary)

1 Introduction

We first define three of the most well-studied functions in number theory. Let n be a nonnegative integer and

$$[n] = \{1, 2, \dots, n\}.$$

A *partition* of n is a weakly decreasing sequence $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_m)$ of positive integers called *parts* satisfying $|\lambda| := \sum_i \lambda_i = n$. In this case we also write $\lambda \vdash n$. We also let

$$\mathcal{P}(n) = \{\lambda \mid \lambda \vdash n\},$$

so that the *partition function* is

$$p(n) = \#\mathcal{P}(n),$$

✉ Bruce E. Sagan
sagan@math.msu.edu

¹ Department of Mathematics, Michigan State University, East Lansing, MI 48824-1027, USA

where we use the hash symbol for cardinality. Note that $p(0) = 1$. Furthermore, for $n \geq 1$, let

$$\Phi(n) = \{k \in [n] \mid \gcd(k, n) = 1\},$$

from which we get *Euler's totient function*

$$\phi(n) = \#\Phi(n).$$

Finally, still for $n \geq 1$, the *Möbius function* is

$$\mu(n) = \begin{cases} (-1)^{\delta(n)} & \text{if } n \text{ is square free,} \\ 0 & \text{else,} \end{cases} \quad (1)$$

where

$$\delta(n) = \text{number of distinct prime divisors of } n.$$

In a pair of recent papers, Merca and Schmidt [7, 8] proved formulas relating $p(n)$, $\phi(n)$, and $\mu(n)$. In order to state them, we will need some further notation. Let

$$S_k(n) = \text{number of } k\text{'s in all the partitions of } n.$$

For example, if $n = 4$ and $k = 1$ then

$$\mathcal{P}(4) = \{(4), (3, 1), (2, 2), (2, 1, 1), (1, 1, 1, 1)\}$$

and, counting the number of ones in each partition,

$$S_1(4) = 0 + 1 + 0 + 2 + 4 = 7.$$

These numbers had appeared earlier in the literature. In particular, they feature in the following theorem which is due to Fine [5] although it is sometimes referred to as Stanley's Theorem [10, p. 117, Exercise 80]. For a thorough history of this and related results see the article of Gilbert [6]. Note that the first sum in equation (2) is just $S_k(n)$.

Theorem 1.1 [6] *Fix $k \geq 1$ and for a partition λ let*

$$f_k(\lambda) = \text{the number of parts equal to } k \text{ in } \lambda,$$

and

$$g_k(\lambda) = \text{the number of distinct parts of } \lambda \text{ which occur at least } k \text{ times.}$$

For any $n \geq 0$

$$\sum_{\lambda \vdash n} f_k(\lambda) = \sum_{\lambda \vdash n} g_k(\lambda). \tag{2}$$

We will have to consider bounding the size of the parts of a partition. So let

$$S_k^{\geq r}(n) = \text{number of } k\text{'s in all the partitions of } n \text{ with smallest part at least } r.$$

Note that $S_k^{\geq 1}(n) = S_k(n)$. Also note that Merca and Schmidt used $S_{n,k}^{(r)}$ for what we call $S_k^{\geq r}(n)$. We prefer our notation as it helps to keep track of which variable is used for which parameter. We can now state the four identities which we will prove bijectively. The original demonstrations were mainly by manipulation of generating functions.

Theorem 1.2 [7, 8] *For $n \geq 0$ we have the following equalities.*

- (a) $S_1(n) = \sum_{k=2}^{n+1} \phi(k) S_k^{\geq 2}(n+1).$
- (b) $p(n) = \sum_{k=3}^{n+3} \frac{\phi(k)}{2} S_k^{\geq 3}(n+3).$
- (c) $p(n) = \sum_{k=1}^{n+1} \mu(k) S_k(n+1).$
- (d) $p(n) = - \sum_{k=2}^{n+2} \mu(k) S_k^{\geq 2}(n+2).$

One of our crucial objects in constructing the necessary maps will be rooted partitions. Call a partition $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_s)$ of n *rooted* if one of its parts, say one of the k 's, has been singled out. This part is called the *root* and will be denoted \hat{k} . Note that if λ has m parts equal to k then it has m corresponding partitions rooted at k which are considered different and distinguished by the order of \hat{k} among the k 's. For example, if $\lambda = (5, 2, 2, 2, 1, 1)$ then there are three ways to root λ at 2, namely

$$(5, \hat{2}, 2, 2, 1, 1), (5, 2, \hat{2}, 2, 1, 1), \text{ and } (5, 2, 2, \hat{2}, 1, 1).$$

Let

$$S_k(n) = \{\lambda \vdash n \mid \lambda \text{ is a rooted partition rooted at } k\}$$

where we extend the notation $\lambda \vdash n$ to rooted partitions. More generally, let

$$S_k^{\geq r}(n) = \{\lambda \in S_k(n) \mid \lambda \text{ has smallest part at least } r\}.$$

Clearly $\#\mathcal{S}_k^{\geq r}(n) = S_k^{\geq r}(n)$ for all values of the parameters. We should note that Chen and Ji [3] have used the term “rooted partition” to refer to certain pairs of partitions. Other authors have used partitions with distinguished parts such as the marked partitions of Andrews and Ballantine [1], where the marked part must be below the Durfee square. There are also partitions where multiple parts may be distinguished such as the partitions with designated summands of Andrews et al. [2] or the overpartitions of Corteel and Lovejoy [4]

An important tool for combining partitions is what we call the direct sum. Let λ, ν be two partitions with at most one of them rooted. Their *direct sum* $\lambda \oplus \nu$ is obtained by, for each k , concatenating the string of k 's in λ with the string of k 's in ν , including the \hat{k} if one exists. For example

$$(5, 2, 2, 1) \oplus (4, 4, 2, \hat{2}, 2, 1, 1) = (5, 4, 4, 2, 2, 2, \hat{2}, 2, 1, 1, 1).$$

Note that this operation is not commutative as

$$(4, 4, 2, \hat{2}, 2, 1, 1) \oplus (5, 2, 2, 1) = (5, 4, 4, 2, \hat{2}, 2, 2, 1, 1, 1).$$

The rest of this paper is organized as follows. In the next section we will bijectively prove the first two equalities in Theorem 1.2, which relate partitions and Euler’s ϕ -function. Sect. 3 will be devoted to demonstrating the last two identities between $p(n)$ and $\mu(n)$. Most proofs will be followed by an example illustrating the maps involved.

2 Connections with Euler’s totient function

We begin with a bijective proof of Theorem 1.2 (a), which we restate here for convenience.

Theorem 2.1 [7] *For $n \geq 0$ we have*

$$S_1(n) = \sum_{k=2}^{n+1} \phi(k) S_k^{\geq 2}(n+1).$$

Proof It suffices to give a bijection f from $\mathcal{S}_1(n)$ to

$$\mathcal{S}'(n+1) = \{(\lambda', r) \mid \lambda' \in \mathcal{S}_k^{\geq 2}(n+1) \text{ for some } k \text{ and } r \in \Phi(k)\}.$$

Given $\lambda \in \mathcal{S}_1(n)$, let o be the number of ones in λ . Also let t be the position of $\hat{1}$, where we number the 1 positions as $1, 2, \dots, o$ from left to right. For ease of reading, we write

$$g = \gcd(o+1, t).$$

Define $(\lambda', r) = f(\lambda)$ as follows. Write

$$\lambda = \nu \oplus \omega,$$

where ω contains all the 1's in λ , including $\hat{1}$, and ν is the rest of λ . We now define ω' to be g copies of the part $(o + 1)/g$ with the first of these as a root, and let

$$\lambda' = \nu \oplus \omega'.$$

Finally, we let $r = t/g$.

We must check that $(\lambda', r) \in S'(n + 1)$. To show that $\lambda' \vdash n + 1$, merely note that since $|\lambda| = n$ and $|\omega| = o$ we have

$$|\lambda'| = |\nu| + |\omega'| = |\nu| + g \cdot (o + 1)/g = |\nu| + |\omega| + 1 = n + 1.$$

For the restriction on part sizes, note that ν only has parts of size at least 2 by definition. And since $t \leq o$ it must be that $g < o + 1$. So the parts in ω' are all of size $(o + 1)/g \geq 2$. Thus $\lambda' = \nu \oplus \omega'$ has only parts greater than or equal to 2. Finally, $r = t/g$ and the root of size $(o + 1)/g$ are clearly relatively prime by definition of g .

To show that this map is a bijection, we construct its inverse. Given (λ', r) we write

$$\lambda' = \nu \oplus \omega',$$

where ω' consists of \hat{k} and all the k 's to its right in λ' . Let $s = |\omega'|$ and construct $\nu \oplus \omega$, where ω is $s - 1$ copies of 1. Finally, root the 1 of this direct sum in position rs/k to form λ . It is a simple matter to check that this is a well-defined map and the inverse of f , so we leave those details to the reader. □

To illustrate this construction, suppose that $\lambda = (4, 4, 2, 1, 1, \hat{1}, 1, 1) \in S_1(15)$. Then we have $o = 5$ ones and the position of $\hat{1}$ is $t = 3$. It follows that $g = \gcd(o + 1, t) = 3$. Writing

$$\lambda = (4, 4, 2) \oplus (1, 1, \hat{1}, 1, 1)$$

we replace the second summand with $g = 3$ copies of $(o + 1)/g = 2$ with the first copy as the root to get

$$\lambda' = (4, 4, 2) \oplus (\hat{2}, 2, 2) = (4, 4, 2, \hat{2}, 2, 2). \tag{3}$$

For the second component of the image, we let $r = t/g = 1$.

To reverse the process, suppose we are given (λ', r) , where λ' is as in equation (3) and $r = 1$. Then $\omega' = (\hat{2}, 2, 2)$ so that $k = 2$ and $s = |\omega'| = 6$. Thus ω has $6 - 1 = 5$ ones and

$$(4, 4, 2) \oplus (1, 1, 1, 1, 1) = (4, 4, 2, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1).$$

Finally, we root the one in position $rs/k = 3$ to obtain the original λ .

We will now prove Theorem 1.2 (b), again restating the result for convenience.

Theorem 2.2 [7] *For $n \geq 0$ we have*

$$p(n) = \sum_{k=3}^{n+3} \frac{\phi(k)}{2} S_k^{\geq 3}(n+3).$$

Proof Let k, ℓ be positive integers and consider

$$\Psi(k) = \{\ell < k/2 \mid \gcd(\ell, k) = 1\}.$$

Note that k and ℓ are relatively prime if and only if k and $k - \ell$ are as well. And for k even, k and $k/2$ are never coprime unless $k = 2$. It follows that for $k \geq 3$ we have $\#\Psi(k) = \phi(k)/2$. Now it suffices to give a bijection f between $\mathcal{P}(n)$ and

$$\mathcal{Q}^{\geq 3}(n+3) = \{(\lambda', r) \mid \lambda' \in \mathcal{S}_k^{\geq 3}(n+3) \text{ for some } k \text{ and } r \in \Psi(k)\}.$$

Given $\lambda \in \mathcal{P}(n)$ we define $(\lambda', r) = f(\lambda)$ as follows. Write

$$\lambda = \nu \oplus \sigma,$$

where σ contains all the ones and twos of λ , and ν contains the remaining parts. Note that if λ has smallest part at least 3 then we let σ be the empty partition. Also let

$$\begin{aligned} s &= |\sigma| + 3, \\ t &= (\text{number of twos in } \sigma) + 1, \\ g &= \gcd(s, t). \end{aligned}$$

Now construct

$$\sigma' = (\hat{k}, k, \dots, k),$$

where $k = s/g$ and σ' has g parts. Finally, define

$$\lambda' = \nu \oplus \sigma'$$

and

$$r = t/g.$$

To verify that f is well defined, we first show that $\lambda' \in \mathcal{Q}_k^{\geq 3}(n+3)$. The sum of the parts of λ' is correct since

$$|\lambda'| = |\nu| + |\sigma'| = |\nu| + (s/g)g = |\nu| + |\sigma| + 3 = n + 3.$$

We must also make sure that $\lambda' = \nu \oplus \sigma'$ has no ones or twos. This is true of ν by definition, and for σ' it suffices to show that $k \geq 3$. The number of twos in σ is at most $|\sigma|/2$. Now easy arithmetic manipulations imply that $s/t > 2$. It follows that $k = s/g \geq 3$.

To finish the well-definedness demonstration, we must check that $r \in \Psi(k)$. Since $r = t/g$ and $k = s/g$ it is clear that r and k are relatively prime. We also need $r < k/2$. But from the previous paragraph, $s/t > 2$. This is equivalent to $kg/(rg) > 2$, which gives the desired inequality.

We finish by constructing f^{-1} . Suppose we are given $(\lambda', r) \in \mathcal{Q}^{\geq 3}(n + 3)$ with λ' rooted at k . Write

$$\lambda' = \nu \oplus \sigma',$$

where σ' contains \hat{k} and all the k 's after it. Construct a partition σ of ones and twos, where

$$|\sigma| = |\sigma'| - 3$$

and the number of twos in σ is $r|\sigma'|/k - 1$. Finally, we let

$$\lambda = \nu \oplus \sigma.$$

We leave the demonstration that f^{-1} is well defined and the inverse of f to the reader. \square

By way of illustration, suppose $\lambda = (4, 4, 3, 2, 2, 1, 1)$. Then we write

$$\lambda = (4, 4, 3, 2, 2, 1, 1) = (4, 4, 3) \oplus (2, 2, 1, 1).$$

So $\sigma = (2, 2, 1, 1)$, from which we get $s = |\sigma| + 3 = 9$ and $t = 3$, one more than the number of twos in σ . We now construct σ' , which is going to have $g = \gcd(s, t) = 3$ copies of $k = s/g = 3$ with the first one as root. Thus

$$\lambda' = (4, 4, 3) \oplus (\hat{3}, 3, 3) = (4, 4, 3, \hat{3}, 3, 3).$$

Lastly, let $r = t/g = 1$ to get the final pair

$$(\lambda', r) = ((4, 4, 3, \hat{3}, 3, 3), 1).$$

We now apply the inverse map to the pair (λ', r) from the previous paragraph. Write

$$\lambda' = (4, 4, 3, \hat{3}, 3, 3) = (4, 4, 3) \oplus (\hat{3}, 3, 3)$$

so that $\sigma' = (\hat{3}, 3, 3)$ and $k = 3$. We now construct σ having only ones and twos with $|\sigma| = |\sigma'| - 3 = 6$. Since $r = 1$, the number of twos in σ is to be $r|\sigma'|/k - 1 = 2$.

This forces $\sigma = (2, 2, 1, 1)$. Finally,

$$\lambda = (4, 4, 3) \oplus (2, 2, 1, 1) = (4, 4, 3, 2, 2, 1, 1)$$

bringing us back to where we started.

3 Connections with the Möbius function

In this section we will use sign-reversing involutions to construct our bijections. We begin with a brief review of this technique. For more information, see [9, Section 2.2].

Let \mathcal{S} be a finite set which is *signed* in that it comes with an associated function

$$\text{sgn} : \mathcal{S} \rightarrow \{1, -1\}.$$

An *involution* on \mathcal{S} is a bijection $\iota : \mathcal{S} \rightarrow \mathcal{S}$ such that ι^2 is the identity map on \mathcal{S} . It is well known that an involution must decompose into cycles (s) of length one (that is, fixed points), and (s, t) of length two (that is, distinct elements s, t with $\iota(s) = t$ and $\iota(t) = s$). We let $\text{Fix } \iota$ be the set of fixed points of ι . Call ι *sign reversing* if

1. for each fixed point (s) we have $\text{sgn } s = 1$, and
2. for each 2-cycle (s, t) we have $\text{sgn } s = -\text{sgn } t$.

It is clear from the definitions that

$$\sum_{s \in \mathcal{S}} \text{sgn } s = \#\text{Fix } \iota \tag{4}$$

since all the fixed points have sign 1 and the signs of elements in 2-cycles cancel out.

As is usual when constructing a sign-reversing involution, two cases perform inverse operations that change signs. And which operation is chosen depends on a parameter. The parameter which we will use is

$$\pi(n) = \begin{cases} \text{smallest prime dividing } n & \text{if } n \geq 2, \\ \infty & \text{if } n = 1, \end{cases}$$

where we consider $\infty > p$ for any prime p . We are now in a position to prove Theorem 1.2 (c) which is restated here.

Theorem 3.1 [8] *For $n \geq 0$ we have*

$$p(n) = \sum_{k=1}^{n+1} \mu(k) S_k(n+1).$$

Proof From Eq. (1), we can restrict the sum in the theorem to those k which are square free. Let

$$S(n) = \{\lambda \vdash n \mid \lambda \text{ is a partition rooted at a square-free part}\}.$$

We turn $\mathcal{S}(n)$ into a signed set by letting the sign of a partition λ with root \hat{k} be

$$\text{sgn}(\lambda) = \mu(k) = (-1)^{\delta(k)}.$$

Since the number of ways to root λ at k is the number of k 's in λ we have

$$\#\mathcal{S}(n + 1) = \sum_k S_k(n + 1),$$

where the sum is over all square-free k . Furthermore, there is a bijection between the partitions ν of n and the partitions of $\mathcal{S}(n + 1)$ obtained by inserting a $\hat{1}$ at the end of ν . Note that the signs of the partitions in this subset are all $\mu(1) = 1$ which is positive. So, by equation (4), it suffices to produce a sign-reversing involution ι on $\mathcal{S}(n + 1)$ with the rooted partitions just described as fixed points,

If $\lambda \in \mathcal{S}(n + 1)$ with root \hat{k} then let m be the number of parts equal to k after and including \hat{k} . Write

$$\lambda = \nu \oplus \kappa,$$

where κ contains \hat{k} and the copies of k to its right. We now have two cases for constructing $\lambda' = \iota(\lambda)$ depending on the relative sizes of $\pi(k)$ and $\pi(m)$.

Consider any $\lambda \in \mathcal{S}(n + 1)$ not ending with $\hat{1}$. It follows that $\min\{\pi(k), \pi(m)\} \neq \infty$ which will make the following cases well defined. For the first case, suppose that

$$\pi(k) \leq \pi(m) \tag{5}$$

and let

$$k_1 = k/\pi(k) \quad \text{and} \quad m_1 = m \cdot \pi(k).$$

Now construct κ' as the partition consisting of m_1 copies of k_1 , where the first is rooted, and let

$$\lambda' = \nu \oplus \kappa'.$$

For the second case, if we have

$$\pi(k) > \pi(m)$$

then we define

$$k_2 = k \cdot \pi(m) \quad \text{and} \quad m_2 = m/\pi(m).$$

Here, λ' is constructed in exactly the same way as the first case, just using k_2 and m_2 in place of k_1 and m_1 , respectively.

We first need to prove that ι is well defined in that $\lambda' \in \mathcal{S}(n + 1)$ and $\text{sgn } \lambda' = -\text{sgn } \lambda$. To show that $\lambda' \vdash n + 1$ note that we are replacing parts summing to km with parts summing to k_1m_1 or k_2m_2 . But the definitions make it clear that these three products are equal so that λ' and λ both partition $n + 1$. We must also make sure that k_1 and k_2 are square free. This is immediate for k_1 since it is a quotient of k . And $k_2 = k \cdot \pi(m)$, where $\pi(m)$ is a prime smaller than the smallest prime dividing k . So k_2 is also square free. Finally, multiplying or dividing by a prime changes the total number of prime factors (with multiplicity) by one. So, since we only have numbers which are square free, $\delta(k)$ will also change by exactly one and thus change the sign in passing from λ to λ' .

There remains to show that $\iota^2(\lambda) = \lambda$. Let $\lambda' = \iota(\lambda)$. We have two cases resulting from the definition of ι . Since the proofs are similar, we will only do the one where (5) holds. From this inequality and the fact that k is square free we see that

$$\pi(k_1) = \pi(k/\pi(k)) > \pi(k) = \pi(m \cdot \pi(k)) = \pi(m_1).$$

So λ' is in the second case. It is now trivial to verify that $\iota(\lambda')$ yields λ . □

As an illustration of the previous involution, suppose $\lambda = (3, 3, 2, \hat{2}, 2, 2, 1, 1)$. Thus the root is at $k = 2$ and there are $m = 3$ parts of that size after and including $\hat{2}$. Furthermore

$$\lambda = (3, 3, 2, 1, 1) \oplus (\hat{2}, 2, 2)$$

which implies $\kappa = (\hat{2}, 2, 2)$. Now $\pi(k) = 2$ and $\pi(m) = 3$ so we are in the first case of the involution. Thus $k_1 = k/\pi(k) = 1$ and $m_1 = m \cdot \pi(k) = 6$ with corresponding partition $\kappa' = (\hat{1}, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1)$. Finally,

$$\lambda' = (3, 3, 2, 1, 1) \oplus (\hat{1}, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1) = (3, 3, 2, 1, 1, \hat{1}, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1).$$

Consider what happens when we apply ι to the λ' just given. Now the root is $k = 1$ with $m = 6$ ones after and including $\hat{1}$. We factor

$$\lambda' = (3, 3, 2, 1, 1, \hat{1}, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1) = (3, 3, 2, 1, 1) \oplus (\hat{1}, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1)$$

and let $\kappa' = (\hat{1}, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1)$. In this situation $\pi(k) = \infty$ and $\pi(m) = 2$ so we are in the second case of the involution. Letting $k_2 = k \cdot \pi(m) = 2$ and $m_2 = m/\pi(m) = 3$ yields the partition $\kappa = (\hat{2}, 2, 2)$. Finally,

$$\lambda = (3, 3, 2, 1, 1) \oplus (\hat{2}, 2, 2) = (3, 3, 2, \hat{2}, 2, 2, 1, 1)$$

returning us to the original partition.

The proof of Theorem 1.2 (d) is much like that of the previous theorem, so we only provide a restatement and sketch.

Theorem 3.2 [8] *For $n \geq 0$ we have*

$$p(n) = - \sum_{k=2}^{n+2} \mu(k) S_k^{\geq 2}(n+2).$$

Proof We will prove the equality in the form

$$p(n) + \sum_{k=2}^{n+2} \mu(k) S_k^{\geq 2}(n+2) = 0$$

So it suffices to give a sign-reversing involution ι without fixed points on the signed set

$$\mathcal{Q}(n) = \mathcal{P}(n) \uplus \{ \lambda \mid \lambda \in S_k^{\geq 2}(n+2) \text{ for some } k \text{ which is square free} \},$$

where

$$\text{sgn } \lambda = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } \lambda \in \mathcal{P}(n), \\ (-1)^{\delta(k)} & \text{if } \lambda \text{ is rooted at } k, \end{cases}$$

and \uplus is disjoint union.

The definition of ι is so similar to that of the map used in the previous theorem that we will content ourselves with defining it and leave the rest of the details to the reader. If $\lambda \in \mathcal{P}(n)$ then construct $\lambda' = \iota(\lambda)$ as follows. Write

$$\lambda = \nu \oplus \omega,$$

where ω contains the ones in λ or is empty if there are none. Let

$$m = |\omega| + 2.$$

Construct ω' which consists of $m/\pi(m)$ copies of $\pi(m)$ with the first one its root. Finally, define

$$\lambda' = \nu \oplus \omega'.$$

On the other hand, if $\lambda \in S_k^{\geq 2}(n+2)$ then λ' is constructed exactly as in the proof of Theorem 3.1. □

Acknowledgements We wish to than Mircea Merca for helpful discussions and references.

References

1. Andrews, G.E., Ballantine, C.: Almost partition identities. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA **116**(12), 5428–5436 (2019)

2. Andrews, G.E., Lewis, R.P., Lovejoy, J.: Partitions with designated summands. *Acta Arithm.* **105**(1), 51–66 (2002)
3. Chen, W.Y.C., Ji, K.Q.: Weighted forms of Euler’s theorem. *J. Comb. Theory Ser. A* **114**(2), 360–372 (2007)
4. Corteel, S., Lovejoy, J.: Overpartitions. *Trans. Am. Math. Soc.* **356**(4), 1623–1635 (2004)
5. Fine, N.J.: Sums over partitions. In: Report of the Institute in the Theory of Numbers, June 21–July 17, pp. 86–94. University of Colorado, Boulder (1959)
6. Gilbert, R.A.: A fine rediscovery. *Am. Math. Mon.* **122**(4), 322–331 (2015)
7. Merca, M., Schmidt, M.D.: A partition identity related to Stanley’s theorem. *Am. Math. Mon.* **125**(10), 929–933 (2018)
8. Merca, M., Schmidt, M.D.: The partition function $p(n)$ in terms of the classical Möbius function. *Ramanujan J.* **49**(1), 87–96 (2019)
9. Sagan, B.E.: *Combinatorics: The Art of Counting*. Graduate Studies in Mathematics, vol. 210. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI (2020)
10. Stanley, R.P.: *Enumerative Combinatorics vol.1*. Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics, vol. 49, 2nd edn. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge (2012)

Publisher’s Note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Springer Nature or its licensor (e.g. a society or other partner) holds exclusive rights to this article under a publishing agreement with the author(s) or other rightsholder(s); author self-archiving of the accepted manuscript version of this article is solely governed by the terms of such publishing agreement and applicable law.